

Is There a Problem with Quantum Gravitation?

Ranjan Kumar Ghosh*
 Bidhannagar College
 EB-2, Sector I, Salt Lake City
 Calcutta 700 064, INDIA

July 2002

Abstract

We argue that Quantum Gravitation forces us to sum over metrics of all signatures.

Since the beginning of General Theory of Relativity the metric has played the dynamical role of the gravitational potential. This has led to an enormously successful classical theory of gravitation. All subsequent efforts at a quantum theory of gravitation have therefore been built around the idea that the graviton is a part of the space-time metric.

In this short note we draw the attention towards a usually neglected fact that a usual path integral quantization of the gravitational field perhaps forces us to sum over space-time metrics of all signatures. The signature of space-time metric is defined by the positive and negative eigenvalues of the diagonalized metric. The usual way of quantization makes us choose a “classical” background metric of a certain signature (usually Lorentzian or Euclidean as the case may be). We then consider the fluctuations given by all symmetric matrices of the same dimensionality as the dimensionality of the space-time under consideration. Actually we must divide the space of all symmetric matrices by the group of General Coordinate Transformations (GCT) as many of these matrices are related by GCT and do not describe metrics differing from each other. However the GCT do not change the signature of the metric. Therefore metrics with different signatures correspond to different points in the quotient space. It is actually the quotient space over which we have to perform the sum.

The problem becomes obvious if we consider the simplest case of a two-dimensional world. Let us consider the Euclidean case. In a certain coordinate system the background metric takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where a and b are both positive. Let us consider only those quantum fluctuations of the gravitational field in which only the diagonal elements are involved. Such a fluctuation is

*rkg_1978@yahoo.com

again parametrized by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

For arbitrary values of c and d it is clear that the signature of the sum of these two matrices is different from the original background metric. We may think that we may remedy the situation by demanding that the magnitude of the larger of c and d be smaller than the smaller of a and b . However it is obviously unnatural. Also just constraining the diagonal elements of gravitational fluctuations does not solve the problem as the following example shows. Let us take the starting background metric to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

A fluctuation in the off-diagonal element puts this in a form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e \\ e & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

When diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation, this becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+e & 0 \\ 0 & 1-e \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Hence for $e > 1$ the signature of this metric is Lorentzian.

The qualitative features remain the same in higher dimensions although the calculations involved are more complicated.

This situation is however not completely new. Gibbons, Hawking and Perry [1] in their discussion of unboundedness of Euclidean gravitational action came to the conclusion that in order to define the path integral properly one needs to integrate along a contour in the space of all metrics.

Hence from our discussion till now it is clear that if we are summing over all graviton fluctuations around some background we are actually summing over metrics of all signatures. If we constrain these fluctuations somehow so that the summation extends over only one signature of the metric, the usual expressions for the amplitudes may not be obtained (those which we obtain in the usual field theories from unrestricted summations).

Obviously there is the question of physical interpretation of these contributing space-time metrics of different signatures. These will naturally cause a violation of the Principle of Equivalence at the quantum level (some metrics not having the correct signature). It is at present under investigation. There may even be a connection between this problem and the problem of smallness of the observed cosmological constant.

These questions will hopefully be taken up in a future communication.

The author thanks Sunil Mukhi for illuminating discussions. He is also thankful to Palash B. Pal for making Ref. [1] available and suggesting a title (not the present one) for this note. I also thank Rajkumar Chakraborty for helping me with $\text{\LaTeX}$ for typing this note.

This work was supported partly by a UGC grant for a minor project (sanction letter no. PSW-32 / 98-99 (ERO)),

References

- [1] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking and M. J. Perry. Nuclear Physics B 138 (1978) 141-150. Reprinted in the book “Euclidean Quantum Gravity”, World Scientific, edited by G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, page 102.